

**REMARKS**

The specification has been amended. Claims 1 - 2, 4, 6 - 9, and 13 - 15 have been amended. Claims 3, 5, 10 - 12, and 16 have been cancelled from the application without prejudice. Claims 17 - 29 have been added. No new matter has been introduced with these amendments or added claims, all of which are supported in the specification as originally filed. Claims 1 - 2, 4, 6 - 9, and 13 - 29 are now in the application.

I. **Rejection under 35 U.S.C. §102(b)**

Paragraph 4 of the Office Action dated December 1, 2004 (hereinafter, "the Office Action") states that Claims 1 - 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Nishikawa et al. (U.S. Patent 6,073,143). Claims 3, 5, 10 - 12, and 16 have been cancelled from the application without prejudice, thus rendering the rejection moot as to those claims. This rejection is respectfully traversed with regard to the remaining claims.

Nishikawa teaches techniques whereby a user can decide whether anchors in hyperlinks should be translated, along with content of a document containing the hyperlinks. See, for example, Steps 3 - 6 of Fig. 8, showing how the anchor text "this trial" is translated into Japanese, along with other text of the hyperlink, and by contrast, Steps 7 - 8 of Fig. 8 illustrate translating other text of the hyperlink, while leaving the anchor itself in its original English form.

Applicants have amended their independent Claims 1 and 15 herein to more clearly specify limitations of their invention. Applicants respectfully submit that Nishikawa fails to

Serial No. 09/981,195

-14-

RSW920010124US1


teach these limitations. For example, Nishikawa fails to teach use of a first structured document and one or more second structured documents, where tag values from one of the second documents are appended as tag values in of tags in the first document. (Applicants note that Nishikawa does refer to multiple documents; however, these documents are used as alternatives to one another, and Applicants find no teaching in Nishikawa of using attribute values from a first document to locate tag values from a second document, and appending those located tag values to tags of the first document.)

Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that their independent Claims 1 and 15 (as well as newly-added independent Claims 17, 19, 21, and 29) are patentable over Nishikawa. The dependent claims are therefore deemed patentable over the reference as well, and the Examiner is respectfully requested to withdraw the §102 rejection.

## II. Conclusion

Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the pending rejected claims, withdrawal of all presently outstanding rejections, and allowance of all claims at an early date.

Respectfully submitted,



Marcia L. Doubet  
Attorney for Applicants  
Reg. No. 40,999

Customer Number for Correspondence: 43168  
Phone: 407-343-7586; Fax: 407-343-7587

Serial No. 09/981,195

-15-

RSW920010124US1